Section I: Best Available Vocabulary/Code Set/Terminology Standards and Implementation Specifications

I-A: Allergies

| Interoperability Need: 1 | Representing patient allergic reactions | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
| Standard | SNOMED-CT | Final | Production | •••• | No | Free | N/A |
| | and Preconditions for Consideration: e sufficient to differentiate between an allergy or a everity | | Value Set(s): Set Problem urn:oid: | 2.16.840.1.113883 | 3.3.88.12.3221. | 7.4 | |

| SNOMED-CT may not be sufficient to differentiate between an allergy or adverse reaction, or the level of severity | Value Set Problem urn:oid:2.16.840.1.113883.3.88.12.3221.7.4 |
|---|--|
| COMMENT: The Pharmacy HIT Collaborative supports using SNOMED CT for coding reactions, intolerances, and allergic severities. | |

| nteroperability Need: Representing patient allergens: medications | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
| Standard | RxNorm | Final | Production | •••• | Yes | Free | N/A |
| Standard | NDF-RT | Final | Production | Unknown | No | Free | N/A |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable Value Set(s): | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--|--|--|
| • When a medication allergy necessitates capture by medication class, <u>NDF-RT</u> is | • | Grouping Value Set: Substance-Reactant for Intolerance | | |
| best available (as recommended by the HIT Standards Committee) | | urn:oid:2.16.840.1.113762.1.4.1010.1. The codes from the following value set | | |
| | | should be selected in the following order of preference: NDF-RT -> RxNorm -> | | |
| • COMMENT: The Pharmacy HIT Collaborative supports using RxNorm | | UNII -> SNOMED CT | | |
| for coding medication reactions, intolerances, and allergic severities. | • | Medication Drug Class (2.16.840.1.113883.3.88.12.80.18) (NDFRT drug class codes) | | |
| | • | Clinical Drug Ingredient (2.16.840.1.113762.1.4.1010.7) (RxNORM ingredient codes | | |

| Interoperability 1 | Need: Representing patient allergens: food s | ubstances | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
| Standard | SNOMED-CT | Final | Unknown | Unknown | No | Free | N/A |
| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: Applicable Value Set(s): | | | | | | | |
| COMMENT: The Pharmacy HIT Collaborative supports using | | | ng Value set: Substa | | ntolerance | | |

| | initiations, Dependencies, and Treconditions for Consideration. | 111 | spriedste varue see(s). |
|---|--|-----|--|
| • | COMMENT: The Pharmacy HIT Collaborative supports using | • | Grouping Value set: Substance-Reactant for Intolerance urn:oid:2.16.840.1.113762.1.4.1010.1. |
| | SNOMED CT for coding reactions, intolerances, and allergic severities for food substances. | • | Unique Ingredient Identifier - Complete Set (2.16.840.1.113883.3.88.12.80.20) (UNII ingredient codes |

| Interoperability Need: 1 | Representing patient allergens: environmental substances | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
| Standard | SNOMED-CT | Final | Unknown | Unknown | No | Free | N/A |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable Value Set(s): |
|--|--|
| COMMENT: The Pharmacy HIT Collaborative supports using SNOMED CT for coding reactions, intolerances, and allergic severities for environmental substances. | Grouping Value set: Substance-Reactant for Intolerance urn:oid:2.16.840.1.113762.1.4.1010.1. Substance Other Than Clinical Drug (2.16.840.1.113762.1.4.1010.9) (SNOMED CT substance codes). |

I-B: Health Care Provider

| Interoperability Need: 1 | Representing care team member (healtl | ı care provider) | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
| Standard | National Provider Identifier (NPI) | Final | Production | •0000 | No | Free | N/A |
| Limitations Dependencies and Preconditions for Consideration: Applicable Value Set(s): | | | | | | | |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable Value Set(s): |
|---|--------------------------|
|---|--------------------------|

- For the purpose of recording a care team member, it should be noted that NPPES permits, but does not require, non-billable care team members to apply for an NPI number to capture the concept of 'person'.
- Some care team members may not have an NPI and may not wish to apply for one as noted above.
- NPI taxonomy may not have sufficient enough detail to describe all roles associated with an individual's care team
- **COMMENT:** The Pharmacy HIT Collaborative supports using NPI board certification taxonomy for board for pharmacists.

- No Value Set
- No comment.

I-C: Encounter Diagnosis

| Interoperability Need: Representing patient medical encounter diagnosis | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
| Standard | SNOMED-CT | Final | Production | •••• | Yes | Free | N/A |
| Standard | ICD-10-CM | Final | Production | •••• | Yes | Free | N/A |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable Value Set(s): |
|---|--|
| Feedback requested | • Problem urn:oid:2.16.840.1.113883.3.88.12.3221.7.4 (SNOMED-CT code system) |

| Interoperability Need: Representing patient dental encounter diagnosis | | | | | | | T |
|--|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
| Standard | SNOMED-CT | Final | Production | •••• | Yes | Free | N/A |
| Limitations, Dependencies, | and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable | Value Set(s): | | · · | | |
| • COMMENT: The Collaborative supports using SNOMED CT for problems related to ICD-10 encounter diagnosis. • SNODENT; 2.16.840.1.113883.3.3150 | | | | | | | |
| For pharmacists prov the pharmacists to k | it for | | | | | | |

medications being prescribed. ICD-10 documentation is used to validate the medication's appropriateness, including dosing, and the mitigation of adverse events. This information also improves a patients' understanding of the medications they're taking, which leads to increased medication adherence. Although ICD-10 codes may not necessarily match the indication for medication use, ICD-10 documentation for certain medications may be needed by certain payers (ICD-10 documentation is important for billing purposes). Linking the encounter diagnosis to a problem using SNOMED CT codes is a better process of more accurate medication indication matching.

I-D: Race and Ethnicity

| Interoperability Need: 1 | Representing patient race and ethnicity | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--|-------------------------------|------------|------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | L | | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
| Standard | OMB standards for Maintaining, Collecting, and Presenting Federal Data on Race and Ethnicity, Statistical Policy Directive No. 15, Oct 30, 1997 | Final | Production | •••• | Yes | Free | N/A |

| Oct 30, 1997 | |
|---|--|
| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable Value Set(s): |
| The CDC Race and Ethnicity Code Set Version 1.0, which expands upon the OMB standards may help to further define race and ethnicity for this interoperability need as it allows for multiple races and ethnicities to be chosen for the same patient. The high-level race/ethnicity categories in the OMB Standard may be suitable for statistical or epidemiologic or public health reporting purposes but may not be adequate in the pursuit of precision medicine and enhancing therapy or clinical decisions. LOINC provides observation codes for use in the observation / observation value pattern for communicating race and ethnicity. | Race (5 codes): Race Category Excluding Nulls urn:oid:2.16.840.1.113883.3.2074.1.1.3 Race (extended set, 900+codes): Race urn:oid:2.16.840.1.113883.1.11.14914 Ethnicity: Ethnicity urn:oid:2.16.840.1.114222.4.11.837 |
| COMMENT: The Collaborative supports the OMB standards for Maintaining, Collecting, and Presenting Federal Data on Race and Ethnicity, Statistical Policy Directive No. 15, Oct. 30, 1997, as well as LOINC. | |

I-E: Family Health History

| Interoperability Need: Representing patient family health history | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|--|--|
| | | | | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability | | |
| Standard | SNOMED-CT | Final | Production •••• | | No | Free | N/A | | |
| Limitations, Dependencie | s, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: Applicable Value Set(s): | | | | | | | |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable Value Set(s): |
|--|--|
| Some details around family genomic health history may not be captured by | For Diagnosis and Conditions: |
| SNOMED-CT (recommended by the HIT Standards Committee) | • Problem urn:oid:2.16.840.1.113883.3.88.12.3221.7.4 (SNOMED-CT code system) |
| | For genomic data: |
| | Gene Identifier: HGNC Value Set |
| | Transcript Reference Sequence Identifier: NCBI vocabulary |
| | DNA Sequence Variation Identifier: NCBI vocabulary |
| | DNA Sequence Variation: HGVS nomenclature |

I-F: Functional Status/Disability

| 1 1 1 unctional Status/Disubinty | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|--|
| Interoperability Need: Representing patient functional status and/or disability | | | | | | | | |
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability | |
| Standard | [See Question 4] | | | | | | · | |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable Value Set(s): |
|---|--------------------------|
| • Public comments were varied for this interoperability need. We heard the strongest support for SNOMED-CT and ICF standards, but at this time do not have enough information to warrant inclusion of either standard for this interoperability need. | No comment. |
| COMMENT: The Pharmacy HIT Collaborative supports using SNOMED CT. | |

I-G: Gender Identity, Sex, and Sexual Orientation

| Interoperability Need: Representing patient gender identity | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------|-----------|------|--------------|--|
| | | Standanda Duassa | Il | A dam4: a.u | Fadanalla | | Tant Tank | |
| | | Standards Process | Implementation | Adoption | Federally | | Test Tool | |
| Type | Standard/Implementation Specification | Maturity | Maturity | Level | Required | Cost | Availability | |

| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
|----------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Standard | SNOMED-CT | Final | Unknown | Unknown | Yes | Free | N/A |

| I | imitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Aj | pplicable Value Set(s): |
|---|---|----|-------------------------|
| | The HIT Standards Committee recommended collecting discrete structured data on patient gender identity, sex, and sexual orientation following recommendations issued in a report by The Fenway Institute and the Institute of Medicine. COMMENT: The Pharmacy HIT Collaborative supports using | • | No comment. |
| | SNOMED CT. | | |

| Interoperability Need: Representing patient sex (at birth) | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|--|--|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability | | |
| Standard | For Male and Female, <u>HL7 Version 3 Value</u> <u>Set for Administrative Gender;</u> For Unknown, <u>HL7 Version 3 Null Flavor</u> | Final | Production | •••• | Yes | Free | N/A | | |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable Value Set(s) |
|--|---|
| • The HIT Standards Committee recommended collecting discrete structured data on patient gender identity, sex, and sexual orientation following recommendations issued in a <u>report</u> by The Fenway Institute and the Institute of Medicine. | • Administrative Gender (HL7 V3) 2.16.840.1.113883.1.11.1 |

| Interoperability Need | l: Representing patient-identified sexual | orientation | | | | | |
|------------------------|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
| Standard | SNOMED-CT | Final | Unknown | Unknown | Yes | Free | N/A |
| Limitations, Dependenc | ies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable | Value Set(s): | • | • | • | |

| • The HIT Standards Committee recommended collecting discrete structured data on | • | No comment. |
|--|---|-------------|
| patient gender identity, sex, and sexual orientation following recommendations | | |
| issued in a report by The Fenway Institute and the Institute of Medicine. | | |
| , , , | | |
| COMMENT: The Collaborative supports the HIT Standards | | |
| Committee recommendation. | | |

I-H: Immunizations

| Interoperability Need: | Representing immunizations – historica | l | | | | | |
|------------------------|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
| Standard | HL7 Standard Code Set CVX—Clinical Vaccines Administered | Final | Production | •••• | Yes | Free | N/A |
| Standard | HL7 Standard Code Set MVX -Manufacturing Vaccine Formulation | Final | Production | •••• | No | Free | N/A |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | | | plicable | Value Set(s): | | | | ' | • | |
|---|--|---|----------|---------------------------------|------------|----------|----------------|---|---|--|
| | HL7 CVX codes are designed to represent administered and historical immunizations and will not contain manufacturer-specific information. When an MVX code is paired with a CVX (vaccine administered) code, the specific | • | | Vaccines Adm entire code set | ed 2.16.84 | 0.1.1137 | 762.1.4.1010.6 | | | |
| | trade named vaccine may be indicated providing further specificity as to the vaccines administered. | | | | | | | | | |
| | COMMENT: The Collaborative supports immunization coding using MVX, CVX and NDC. For tracking purposes, it will be important to code the actual product, lot number, and expiration date. | | | | | | | | | |

| Interoperability Need: Representing immunizations – administered | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
| Standard | HL7 Standard Code Set CVX—Clinical Vaccines Administered | Final | Production | •••• | No | Free | N/A |
| Standard | National Drug Code | Final | Production | •••• | Yes | Free | N/A |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable Value Set(s): |
|---|--|
| HL7 CVX codes are designed to represent administered and historical | • CVX: Vaccines Administered 2.16.840.1.113762.1.4.1010.6 |
| immunizations and will not contain manufacturer-specific information. | • RxNorm: Vaccine Clinical Drug 2.16.840.1.113762.1.4.1010.8 |
| According to the HIT Standards Committee, National Drug (NDC) codes may provide value to stakeholders for inventory management, packaging, lot numbers, | RxNorm: Specific Vaccine Clinical Drug urn:oid:2.16.840.1.113762.1.4.1010.10 |
| etc., but do not contain sufficient information to be used for documenting an administered immunization across organizational boundaries. | |

| ENT: The Collaborative supports immunization coding using VX and NDC. For tracking purposes, it will be important to e actual product, lot number, and expiration date. |
|--|
| ng purposes, it will be important to |

I-I: Industry and Occupation

| Interoperability Need: | Representing patient industry and occu | pation | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Type Standard | Standard/Implementation Specification [See Question 4] | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable Value Set(s): |
|--|--------------------------|
| Public comments were varied for this interoperability need. We heard the strongest support for National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) list, which includes an Industry and Occupation Computerized Coding System (NIOCCS), U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Standard Occupational Classification, and National Uniform Claim Committee Health Care Taxonomy (NUCC) codes standards, but at this time do not have enough information to warrant inclusion of either standard for this interoperability need. No comment. | No comment. |

I-J: Lab tests

| Interoperability Need: 1 | Representing numerical laboratory test | results (observation | ons)(questions) | | | | |
|--------------------------|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
| Standard | LOINC | Final | Production | •••• | Yes | Free | N/A |

| | | | | | | 1 | | |
|---|---|------------------------------------|-------------|--|---|---|------------|-------------|
| I | Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | A | pplicable ' | Value Set(s): | | | | |
| • | The HIT Standards Committee recommended that laboratory test and observary work in conjunction with values or results which can be answered numerically categorically. If the value/result/answer to a laboratory test and observation is categorical that answer should be represented with the SNOMED-CT termino. Where LOINC codes do not exist, it is possible to request a new LOINC terming created. A number of factors may determine the length of time required for a recode to be created. | y or s ology. <u>ı</u> be | | set at this granularit 0+ Lab Observation | • | | t. The lis | st of LOINC |
| • | COMMENT: The Collaborative supports using LOINC and SNOM | 1ED | | | | | | |

| CT. The Collaborative supports the HIT committee | |
|--|--|
| recommendations. | |

I-K: Medications

| Interoperability Need: Representing patient medications | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
| Standard | RxNorm | Final | Production | ••••• | Yes | Free | N/A |
| Standard | National Drug Code (NDC) | Final | Production | •••• | No | Free | N/A |
| Standard | National Drug File – Reference Terminology (NDF-RT) | Final | Production | •••• | No | Free | N/A |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable Value Set(s): |
|--|---|
| • The use of NDC in conjunction with RxNorm can help minimize gaps in | Grouping Value Set: Medication Clinical Drug 2.16.840.1.113762.1.4.1010.4 |
| representing medications, including compounded products, over -the-counter | o Medication Clinical General Drug (2.16.840.1.113883.3.88.12.80.17) |
| medications, and herbals. | o Medication Clinical Brand-specific Drug (2.16.840.1.113762.1.4.1010.5) |
| NDF-RT allows for representing classes of medications when specific medications | (RxNorm). |
| are not known. Immunizations are not considered medications for this interoperability need. | • Grouping Value Set: Clinical Substance 2.16.840.1.113762.1.4.1010.2 |
| - minimizations are not considered medications for this interoperatinty need. | o Medication Clinical Drug (2.16.840.1.113762.1.4.1010.4) (RxNorm) |
| COMMENT: The Collaborative supports using RxNorm, NDC, and NDF-RT | Unique Ingredient Identifier - Complete Set |
| for patient medications. | (2.16.840.1.113883.3.88.12.80.20) (UNII) |
| To patient medications. | • Substance Other Than Clinical Drug (2.16.840.1.113762.1.4.1010.9) (SNOMED |
| | CT). |

I-L: Numerical References & Values

| Interoperability Need: Representing units of measure (for use with numerical references and values) | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
| Standard | The Unified Code for Units of Measure | Final | Production | ••000 | Yes | Free | N/A |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | | Applicable Value Set(s): | | | | |
|---|--|--------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| | • The case sensitive version is the correct unit string to be used for interoperability | • | Units Of Measure Case Sensitive 2.16.840.1.113883.1.11.12839 (most frequently | | | |
| | purposes per HIT Standards Committee recommendations. | | used codes) | | | |
| | Per public comments received, some issues with UCUM in the laboratory domain | | , | | | |
| | remain unresolved. | | | | | |

- The abbreviations used for a few of the units of measure listed in the UCUM standard are currently on lists of <u>prohibited abbreviations from the Institute for Safe Medication Practice (ISMP)</u>.
- Some abbreviations for units of measure include symbols which may be in conflict with other HL7 standards.
- Some abbreviations for units are nonstandard for human understanding. For example, if a result for a White Blood Cell count is 9.6 x 103/μL, the UCUM recommendation for rendering this value in a legacy character application is 9.6 x 10*3/μL. Because the "*" is a symbol for multiplication in some systems. This recommendation may result in errors either by the information system or the human reading the result.
- Some other abbreviations used in UCUM are not industry standard for the tests that use these units of measure.
- COMMENT: The Collaborative supports the HIT committee
 recommendations and request that the NCPD Dosing Designations.
 https://www.ncpdp.org/NCPDP/media/pdf/wp/DosingDesignations-OralLiquid-MedicationLabels.pdf

I-M: Patient Clinical "Problems" (i.e., conditions)

| Interoperability Need: Representing patient clinical "problems" (i.e., conditions) | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
| Standard | SNOMED-CT | Final | Production | ••••• | Yes | Free | N/A |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | App | plicable Value Set(s): |
|---|-----|--|
| • Depending on the patient problem, more than one SNOMED-CT code may be required to accurately describe the patient problem (e.g., left leg fracture requires the use of two SNOMED CT codes) | • | Problem 2.16.840.1.113883.3.88.12.3221.7.4 |
| • COMMENT: The Collaborative supports using SNOMED CT for patient problems for medication indications. | | |

I-N: Preferred Language

Interoperability Need: Representing patient preferred language

| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
|----------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Standard | RFC 5646 | Final | Production | Unknown | Yes | Free | N/A |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Aŗ | oplicable Value Set(s): |
|--|----|---|
| • RFC 5646 encompasses ISO 639-1, ISO 639-2, ISO 639-3 and other standards | • | Language urn:oid:2.16.840.1.113883.1.11.11526 (based off RFC 4646. This will be |
| related to identifying preferred language. | | updated to reflect RFC 5646) |

I-O: Procedures

| Interoperability Need: Representing dental procedures performed | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
| Standard | Code on Dental Procedures and Nomenclature (CDT) | Final | Production | •••• | Yes | \$ | N/A |
| Standard | SNOMED-CT | Final | Production | ••••• | Yes | Free | N/A |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable Value Set(s): |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| COMMENT: THE Collaborative supports using SNOMED CT. | • SNODENT; 2.16.840.1.113883.3.3150 |
| | |

| Interoperability Need: Representing medical procedures performed | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
| Standard | SNOMED-CT | Final | Production | •••• | Yes | Free | N/A |
| Standard | the combination of <u>CPT-4/HCPCS</u> | Final | Production | ••••• | Yes | \$ | N/A |
| Standard | ICD-10-PCS | Final | Production | •••• | Yes | Free | N/A |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable Value Set(s): |
|---|--------------------------|
| COMMENT: THE Collaborative supports using SNOMED CT, the | No comment |

| combination of CPT-4/HCPCS, and ICD-10-PCS. | |
|---|--|

I-P: Imaging (Diagnostics, interventions and procedures)

| | Interoperability Need: Representing imaging diagnostics, interventions and procedures | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| • | Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
| | Standard | LOINC | Final | Production | ••000 | No | Free | N/A |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Apj | plicable Value Set(s): |
|---|-----|------------------------|
| Radlex and LOINC are currently in the process of creating a common data model to link the two standards together to promote standardized indexing of radiology terms as indicated by public comments and HIT Standards Committee recommendations. | | No comment. |
| COMMENT: THE Collaborative supports using LOINC. | | |

I-Q: Tobacco Use (Smoking Status)

| Interoperability Need: Representing patient tobacco use (smoking status) observation result values or assertions (answers) | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| | Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
| | Standard | SNOMED-CT | Final | Production | ••••• | Yes | Free | N/A |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable Value Set(s): |
|---|---|
| According to the HIT Standards Committee, there are limitations in SNOMED-CT for this interoperability need, which include not being able to capture severity of dependency, level of use, quit attempts, lifetime exposure, and use of e-Cigarettes. | Current Smoking Status urn:oid:2.16.840.1.113883.11.20.9.38 |
| Comment: The Collaborative supports using SNOMED CT. | |

I-R: Unique Device Identification

| Interoperability Need: Representing unique implantable device identifiers | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|------|--------------|--|
| | | Standards Process | Implementation | Adoption | Federally | | Test Tool | |
| Type | Standard/Implementation Specification | Maturity | Maturity | Level | Required | Cost | Availability | |

| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
|---------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Standard | Unique device identifier as defined by the Food and Drug Administration at 21 CFR 830.3 | Final | Production | •0000 | Yes | Free | N/A |
| Implementation Specification | HL7 Harmonization Pattern for Unique Device Identifiers | Final | Production | •0000 | No | Free | N/A |

|] | imitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applic | cable Value Set(s): |
|---|---|--------|---------------------|
| • | Per the FDA, Unique Device Identification system will be phased in over several years, with the final compliance date of September, 2020. | • N | lo comment. |
| , | Comment: The Collaborative supports using HL7 Harmonization. | | |

I-S: Vital Signs

| Interoperability Need: | Representing patient vital signs | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
| Standard | LOINC | Final | Production | ••••• | Yes | Free | N/A |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable Value Set(s): |
|---|---|
| COMMENT: The Collaborative supports using LOINC for recording | • Vital Sign Result urn:oid:2.16.840.1.113883.3.88.12.80.62 |
| patient vital signs. | |

Section II: Best Available Content/Structure Standards and Implementation Specifications

II-A: Admission, Discharge, and Transfer

| Interoperability Need: Sending a notification of a patient's admission, discharge and/or transfer status to other providers | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
| Standard | HL7 2.5.1 (or later) ADT message | Final | Production | •••• | No | Free | No |
| Limitations Danandanaics and Drescanditions for Consideration: Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration: | | | | | | | |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration: | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| A variety of transport protocols are available for use for ADT delivery. Trading partners will need to determine which transport tools best meet their interoperability needs. | Secure Communication – create a secure channel for client-to- serve and server-to-server communication. Secure Message Router – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and | | | | | | |
| | outbound messages without interruption of delivery. | | | | | | |
| COMMENT: The Collaborative supports using HL7 2.x (or later) | • Authentication Enforcer – centralized authentication processes. | | | | | | |
| version) messaging standard. | Authorization Enforcer – specified policies access control. | | | | | | |
| | • Credential Tokenizer – encapsulate credentials as a security token for reuse (examples – SAML, Kerberos). | | | | | | |
| | • Assertion Builder – define processing logic for identity, authorization and attribute statements. | | | | | | |
| | • User Role – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction. | | | | | | |
| | Purpose of Use - Identifies the purpose for the transaction. | | | | | | |

II-B: Care Plan

| Interoperability Need: Documenting patient care plans | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|----------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|--|
| Туре | Type Standard/Implementation Specification Ma | | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability | |
| Standard | HL7 Clinical Document Architecture (CDA®), Release 2.0, Final Edition | Final | Production | ••••• | Yes | Free | No | |
| Implementation Specification | HL7 Implementation Guide for CDA® Release 2: Consolidated CDA Templates for Clinical Notes (US Realm), Draft Standard for Trial Use, Release 2.1 | Balloted Draft | Pilot | Unknown | Yes | Free | No | |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration: |
|---|---|
| COMMENT: The Collaborative recommends that consolidated CDA | No comment. |
| (C-CDA) Release 1.1 and 2.0 be included for the summary care | |
| record. For pharmacists providing patient care services, there have | |
| been joint NCPDP and HL7 standards development and | |
| implementation guides work using C-CDA Release 1.1 and current | |
| development work using C-CDA Release 2.1 for Pharmacist Care | |
| Plan. | |
| • | |

II-C: Clinical Decision Support

| Interoperability Need: | Shareable clinical decision support | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
| Implementation Specification | HL7 Implementation Guide: Clinical Decision Support Knowledge Artifact Implementation Guide, Release 1.3, Draft Standard for Trial Use. | Balloted Draft | Pilot | Unknown | No | Free | No |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Appli | cable Security Patterns for Consideration: |
|--|-------|--|
| • COMMENT: The Collaborative supports the use of HL 7 | • N | lo comment. |
| Implementation Guide: Clinical Decision Support Knowledge Artificial | | |
| Implementation Guide, Release 1.3, Draft Standard for Trial Use. | | |

II-D: Drug Formulary & Benefits
Interoperability Need: The ability for pharmacy benefit payers to communicate formulary and benefit information to prescribers systems

| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Implementation Specification | NCPDP Formulary and Benefits v3.0 | Final | Production | •••• | Yes | \$ | No |

| Specification NCPDP Formulary and Benefits v3.0 | Final | Production | 00000 | <u>Y es</u> | \$ | No | | | |
|--|---------------|--|----------------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------------|--|--|--|
| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable | Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration: | | | | | | | |
| • NCPDP Formulary and Benefits v3.0 does not provide real-time patient-level benefit information. | to-serv | e Communication – ver communication. | create a secure char | nnel for client- | to- serve | and server- | | | |
| • The HIT Standards Committee noted that the NCPDP Real Time Prescriptio Benefit Inquiry (RTPBI) is an alternative in development that should be mor | | • Secure Message Router – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery. | | | | | | | |
| as a potential emerging alternative. | • Authe | • Authentication Enforcer – centralized authentication processes | | | | | | | |
| | • Autho | • Authorization Enforcer – specified policies access control. | | | | | | | |
| COMMENT: The Collaborative supports the development of N | ו טווט | • Credential Tokenizer – encapsulate credentials as a security token for reuse (examples – SAML, Kerberos). | | | | | | | |
| Real Time formulary and benefit standard. | Assert statem | tion Builder – define ents. | processing logic for | or identity, autl | norizatio | n and attribute | | | |
| | • User I | Role – identifies the r | ole asserted by the | individual init | ating the | transaction. | | | |
| | • Purpo | se of Use - Identifies | the purpose for the | transaction. | | | | | |

Interoperability Need: A prescriber's ability to create a new prescription to electronically send to a pharmacy

II-E: Electronic Prescribing

| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Maturit | y | Maturity | Level | Required | Cost | Availability | | |
|---|--|--|--|-------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-----------|------------------|--|--|
| Implementation Specification | NCPDP SCRIPT Standard, Implementation Guide, Version 10.6 | F | Final | Production | •••• | Yes | \$ | Yes | | |
| Limitations, Dependencies, | and Preconditions for Consideration: | | Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration: | | | | | | | |
| Both the prescriber and the for the transaction in order COMMENT: The Collaboration of the Standard, Implement | transaction is best suited for this interoperability not be receiving pharmacy must have their systems content to facilitate successful exchange. Ilaborative supports using NCPDP SCRIPT tation Guide, Version 10.6 and adoption of eapproved by regulation. | to-serve Secure outbout Auther Author Creder | Secure Communication – create a secure channel for client-to- serve and server-to-server communication. Secure Message Router – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery. Authentication Enforcer – centralized authentication processes. Authorization Enforcer – specified policies access control. Credential Tokenizer – encapsulate credentials as a security token for reuse (examples – SAML, Kerberos). | | | | | | | |
| | | | • Asserti | ion Builder – define ents. | processing logic fo | or identity, autl | norizatio | on and attribute | | |
| | | | • User R | ole – identifies the r | ole asserted by the | individual initi | ating th | e transaction. | | |
| | | | Purpos | se of Use - Identifies | the purpose for the | transaction. | | | | |

Standards Process

Implementation

Adoption

Federally

Test Tool

| Interoperability Need: 1 | Prescription refill request | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
| Implementation Specification | NCPDP SCRIPT Standard, Implementation Guide, Version 10.6 | Final | Production | •••• | Yes | \$ | Yes |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Aŗ | oplicable Security Patterns for Consideration: |
|---|----|---|
| • The "Refill Request" transaction is best suited for this interoperability need. | • | Secure Communication – create a secure channel for client-to- serve and server- |
| • Both the prescriber and the receiving pharmacy must have their systems configured | | to-server communication. |
| for the transaction in order to facilitate successful exchange. | • | Secure Message Router – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery. |
| COMMENT: The Collaborative supports using NCPDP SCRIPT | • | Authentication Enforcer – centralized authentication processes. |
| Standard, Implementation Guide, Version 10.6 and adoption of an | • | Authorization Enforcer – specified policies access control. |
| updated version once approved by regulation. | • | Credential Tokenizer – encapsulate credentials as a security token for reuse (examples – SAML, Kerberos). |
| | • | Assertion Builder – define processing logic for identity, authorization and attribute statements. |

| | statements. | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | • User Role – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction | | | | | | |
| | • | Purpose of Use - Identifies the purpose for the transaction. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Interoperability Need: Cancellation of a prescription | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| Interoperability Need: | Cancellation of a prescription | lation of a prescription | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|--|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability | |
| Implementation Specification | NCPDP SCRIPT Standard, Implementation Guide, Version 10.6 | Final | Production | Unknown | Yes | \$ | No | |

| Specification Specification | Guide, Version 10.6 | Fir | nal | Production | Unknown | Yes | \$ | No | | | |
|---|--|----------|---|--|--|--|----------|-----------------|--|--|--|
| Limitations, Dependencies, a | and Preconditions for Consideration: | 1 | Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration: | | | | | | | | |
| Both the prescriber and the second seco | is best suited for this interoperability need. ne receiving pharmacy must have their systems control facilitate successful exchange. | nfigured | to-serve • Secure | Communication – or communication. Message Router – standard messages without | securely route and | enforce policy | | | | | |
| Standard, Implement | laborative supports using NCPDP SCRIPT cation Guide, Version 10.6 and adoption of approved by regulation. | of an | AuthenAuthorCreden reuse (cAssertion statement | tication Enforcer – ization Enforcer – tial Tokenizer – ene examples – SAML, l on Builder – define | centralized authen specified policies a capsulate credentia Kerberos). processing logic fo | tication proces ccess control. Is as a security or identity, auth | token fo | n and attribute | | | |

• **Purpose of Use** - Identifies the purpose for the transaction.

| Interoperability Need: | nteroperability Need: Pharmacy notifies prescriber of prescription fill status | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability | | | | |
| Implementation Specification | NCPDP SCRIPT Standard, Implementation Guide, Version 10.6 | Final | Production | Unknown | Yes | \$ | Yes | | | | |

| Specification Guide, Version 10.0 | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration: | | | | | | |
| The "Fill Status" transaction is best suited for this interoperability need. Both the prescriber and the receiving pharmacy must have their systems configured for the transaction in order to facilitate successful exchange. | Secure Communication – create a secure channel for client-to- serve and server-to-server communication. Secure Message Router – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery. | | | | | | |
| COMMENT: The Collaborative supports using NCPDP SCRIPT Standard, Implementation Guide, Version 10.6 and adoption of an updated version once approved by regulation. | Authentication Enforcer – centralized authentication processes. Authorization Enforcer – specified policies access control. Credential Tokenizer – encapsulate credentials as a security token for reuse (examples – SAML, Kerberos). Assertion Builder – define processing logic for identity, authorization and attribute statements. User Role – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction. Purpose of Use - Identifies the purpose for the transaction. | | | | | | |

| Interoperability Need: A prescriber's ability to obtain a patient's medication history | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|--|--|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability | | |
| Implementation Specification | NCPDP SCRIPT Standard, Implementation Guide, Version 10.6 | Final | Production | •••00 | Yes | \$ | Yes | | |

| Specification NCPDP SCRIPT Standard, Implementation Guide, Version 10.6 | Final P | roduction | | Yes | \$ | Yes |
|--|---|--|-----------------|------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable Secur | ity Patterns for Co | nsideration: | | | |
| • Both the "Medication History Request" and "Medication History Response" transactions need to be implemented for interoperability purposes. | Secure Common to-server common to-s | nunication – create munication. | a secure chan | nnel for client- | to- serve | and server- |
| Both the prescriber and the receiving pharmacy or pharmacy benefits manage (PBM) must have their systems configured for the transaction in order to facing the property of the prescriber and the receiving pharmacy or pharmacy benefits manage (PBM) must have their systems configured for the transaction in order to facing the property of the prescriber and the receiving pharmacy or pharmacy benefits manage (PBM) must have their systems configured for the transaction in order to facing the property of the prescriber and the receiving pharmacy or pharmacy benefits manage (PBM) must have their systems configured for the transaction in order to facing the property of the prescriber and the | ate outbound mes | Secure Message Router – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery. | | | | |
| successful exchange. | | • Authentication Enforcer – centralized authentication processes. | | | | |
| COMMENT. The Collaborative supports using NCDDD SCDIDT | Authorization | n Enforcer – specifi | ied policies ac | ccess control. | | |
| COMMENT: The Collaborative supports using NCPDP SCRIPT Standard, Implementation Guide, Version 10.6 and adoption of | | okenizer – encapsul des – SAML, Kerber | | s as a security | token fo | or |
| updated version once approved by regulation. | • Assertion Bu statements. | ilder – define proces | ssing logic for | r identity, aut | norizatio | n and attribute |
| | • User Role – i | dentifies the role ass | serted by the i | ndividual init | iating the | e transaction. |

II-F: Family health history (clinical genomics)

| Interoperability Need: | Interoperability Need: Representing family health history for clinical genomics | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability | | | | |
| Standard | HL7 Version 3 Standard: Clinical Genomics; Pedigree | Balloted Draft | Production | •0000 | Yes | Free | No | | | | |
| Implementation Specification | HL7 Version 3 Implementation Guide: Family History/Pedigree Interoperability, Release 1 | Balloted Draft | Production | •0000 | No | Free | No | | | | |

| I | Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Appl | licable Security Patterns for Consideration: |
|---|--|------|--|
| | According to the HIT Standards Committee, there is no available vocabulary to capture family genomic health history. | • 1 | No comment. |
| | According to the HIT Standards Committee, further constraint of this standard and implementation specification may be required to support this interoperability need. | | |
| | • COMMENT: The Collaborative supports using both the HL7 Version 3 Standard: Clinical Genomics, Pedigree and the Implementation Guide: Family History/Pedigree Interoperability, Release 1. | | |

II-G: Images

| | Interoperability Need: Medical image formats for data exchange and distribution | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability | | | |
| | Standard | Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine (DICOM) | Final | Production | •••• | No | Free | No | | | |
| Ī | Limitations Dependencies | and Preconditions for Consideration: | Annlicable | Security Patterns f | or Consideration: | | | | | | |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration: |
|--|---|
| Use Image Acquisition Technology Specific Service/Object Pairs (SOP) Classes | No comment. |

| - | Interoperability Need: Format of medical imaging reports for exchange and distribution | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|------|--------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Standards Process | Implementation | Adoption | Federally | | Test Tool | | | |
| | Type | Standard/Implementation Specification | Maturity | Maturity | Level | Required | Cost | Availability | | | |

| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
|---------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Standard | Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine (DICOM) | Final | Production | •••• | No | Free | No |
| Implementation Specification | PS3.20 Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine (DICOM) Standard – Part 20: Imaging Reports using HL7 Clinical Document Architecture. | Final | Production | •0000 | No | Free | No |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration: |
|---|---|
| No comment. | • Secure Communication – create a secure channel for client-to- serve and server-to-server communication. |
| | • Secure Message Router – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery. |
| | • Authentication Enforcer – centralized authentication processes. |
| | Authorization Enforcer – specified policies access control. |
| | Credential Tokenizer – encapsulate credentials as a security token for reuse (examples – SAML, Kerberos). |
| | • Assertion Builder – define processing logic for identity, authorization and attribute statements. |
| | • User Role – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction. |
| | • Purpose of Use - Identifies the purpose for the transaction. |

II-H: Laboratory

| Interoperability Need: | Interoperability Need: Receive electronic laboratory test results | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability | | | |
| Standard | <u>HL7 2.5.1</u> | Final | Production | •••• | No | Free | No | | | |
| Implementation Specification | HL7 Version 2.5.1 Implementation Guide: S&I Framework Lab Results Interface, Release 1—US Realm [HL7 Version 2.5.1: ORU_R01] Draft Standard for Trial Use, July 2012 | Final | Production | •••• | Yes | Free | Yes | | | |
| Emerging Alternative Implementation Specification | HL7 Version 2.5.1 Implementation Guide: S&I Framework Laboratory Results Interface Implementation Guide, Release 1 DSTU Release 2 - US Realm | Balloted Draft | Pilot | •0000 | No | Free | No | | | |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration: |
|--|--|
| HL7 Laboratory US Realm Value Set Companion Guide, Release 1, September 2015, provides cross-implementation guide value set definitions and harmonized | Secure Communication – create a secure channel for client-to- serve and server-to-server communication. |
| requirements. | Secure Message Router – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery. |
| • COMMENT: The Collaborative supports using both the HL7 2.5.1 | Authentication Enforcer – centralized authentication processes. |
| and the Implementation Guide; S &I Framework Lab Results | Authorization Enforcer – specified policies access control. |
| Interface Release 1 – US Realm. | • Credential Tokenizer – encapsulate credentials as a security token for reuse (examples – SAML, Kerberos). |
| | • Assertion Builder – define processing logic for identity, authorization and attribute statements. |
| | • User Role – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction. |
| | Purpose of Use - Identifies the purpose for the transaction. |

| Interoperability Need: Ordering labs for a patient | | | | | | | |
|--|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
| Standard | <u>HL7 2.5.1</u> | Final | Production | •••• | No | Free | No |
| Implementation Specification | HL7 Version 2.5.1 Implementation Guide: S&I Framework Laboratory Orders from EHR, Release 1 DSTU Release 2 - US Realm | Balloted Draft | Pilot | •0000 | No | Free | No |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration: |
|--|--|
| HL7 Laboratory US Realm Value Set Companion Guide, Release 1, September 2015, provides cross-implementation guide value set definitions and harmonized | Secure Communication – create a secure channel for client-to- serve and server-to-server communication. |
| requirements. | Secure Message Router – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery. |
| • COMMENT: The Collaborative supports using HL7 Version 2.5.1 and | Authentication Enforcer – centralized authentication processes. |
| HL7 Version 2.5.1 Implementation Guide: S&I Framework Laboratory | Authorization Enforcer – specified policies access control. |
| Orders, et al. | • Credential Tokenizer – encapsulate credentials as a security token for reuse (examples – SAML, Kerberos). |
| | • Assertion Builder – define processing logic for identity, authorization and attribute statements. |
| | • User Role – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction. |
| | Purpose of Use - Identifies the purpose for the transaction. |

| Interoperability Need: Support the transmission of a laboratory's directory of services to health IT. | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
| Standard | <u>HL7 2.5.1</u> | Final | Production | ••••• | No | Free | No |
| Implementation Specification | HL7 Version 2.5.1 Implementation Guide: S&I Framework Laboratory Test Compendium Framework, Release 2, DSTU Release 2 | Balloted Draft | Pilot | •0000 | No | Free | No |

| ICOCUSC Z | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration: | | | | | | |
| HL7 Laboratory US Realm Value Set Companion Guide, Release 1, September 2015, provides cross-implementation guide value set definitions and harmonized | Secure Communication – create a secure channel for client-to- serve and server-to-server communication. | | | | | | |
| requirements. | Secure Message Router – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery. | | | | | | |
| • COMMENT: The Collaborative supports using HL7 Version 2.5.1 and | Authentication Enforcer – centralized authentication processes. | | | | | | |
| HL7 Version 2.5.1 Implementation Guide: S&I Framework Laboratory | Authorization Enforcer – specified policies access control. | | | | | | |
| Test Compendium, et al. | • Credential Tokenizer – encapsulate credentials as a security token for reuse (examples – SAML, Kerberos). | | | | | | |
| | • Assertion Builder – define processing logic for identity, authorization and attribute statements. | | | | | | |
| | • User Role – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction. | | | | | | |
| | Purpose of Use - Identifies the purpose for the transaction. | | | | | | |

II-I: Patient Education Materials

| Interoperability Need: A standard mechanism for clinical information systems to request context-specific clinical knowledge form online resources | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Type | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
| Standard | HL7 Version 3 Standard: Context Aware Knowledge Retrieval Application. ("Infobutton"), Knowledge Request, Release 2. | Final | Production | •••• | Yes | Free | No |
| Implementation Specification | HL7 Implementation Guide: Service- Oriented Architecture Implementations of the Context-aware Knowledge Retrieval (Infobutton) Domain, Release 1. | Final | Production | •••• | Yes | Free | No |

| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
|--|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Implementation Specification | HL7 Version 3 Implementation Guide: Context-Aware Knowledge Retrieval (Infobutton), Release 4. | Final | Production | •••00 | Yes | Free | No |
| Limitations Danandanaias and Progonditions for Consideration: Applicable Security Potterns for Consideration: | | | | | | | |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration: |
|--|---|
| • COMMENT: The Collaborative supports using the three HL7 | No comment. |
| standards proposed. | |

II-J: Patient Preference/Consent

Interoperability Need: Recording patient preferences for electronic consent to access and/or share their health information with other care providers

| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
|-----------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| 1-Implementation Specification | IHE Basic Patient Privacy Consents (BPPC) | Final | Production | ••000 | No | Free | Yes – Open |
| 2-Implementation Specification | IHE Cross Enterprise User Assertion (XUA) | Final | Production | •0000 | No | Free | Yes - Open |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration: |
|---|--|
| These profiles operate in conjunction with the IHE XDS, XCA, and XDR profiles IHE BPPC may not support management of patient privacy across governmental | Secure Communication – create a secure channel for client-to- serve and server-to-server communication. |
| jurisdictions which may have different regulations regarding access to patient data by providers, patients, governmental entities, and other organizations. | Secure Message Router – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery. |
| | Authentication Enforcer – centralized authentication processes. |
| COMMENT: The Collaborative supports using IHE Basic Patient | Authorization Enforcer – specified policies access control. |
| Privacy Consents and Cross Enterprise User Authorization. | • Credential Tokenizer – encapsulate credentials as a security token for reuse (examples – SAML, Kerberos). |
| | • Assertion Builder – define processing logic for identity, authorization and attribute statements. |
| | • User Role – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction. |
| | Purpose of Use - Identifies the purpose for the transaction. |
| | • Patient Consent Information - Identifies the patient consent information that may be required before data can be accessed. |

II-K: Public Health Reporting

| Interoperability Need: Reporting antimicrobial use and resistance information to public health agencies | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
| Standard | HL7 Clinical Document Architecture (CDA®), Release 2.0, Final Edition | Final | Production | •••• | No | Free | No |
| Implementation Specification | HL7 Implementation Guide for CDA® Release 2 – Level 3: Healthcare Associated Infection Reports, Release 1, U.S. Realm. | Final | Production | •0000 | Yes | Free | No |
| Emerging Alternative Implementation Specification | HL7 Implementation Guide for CDA Release 2 - Level 3: NHSN Healthcare Associated Infection (HAI) Reports Release 2, DSTU Release 2.1 | Balloted Draft | Pilot | •0000 | No | Free | No |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration: |
|---|--|
| This is a national reporting system to CDC. Stakeholders should refer to implementation guide for additional details and contract information for enrolling | • Secure Communication – create a secure channel for client-to- serve and server-to-server communication. |
| in the program. | • Secure Message Router – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery. |
| • COMMENT: The Collaborative supports using HL7 CDA, Release 2.0, | Authentication Enforcer – centralized authentication processes. |
| Final Edition and the Implementation Guide for CDA Release 2 – | • Authorization Enforcer – specified policies access control. |
| Level 3: Healthcare Association Infection Reports, Release 1, US Realm. | • Credential Tokenizer – encapsulate credentials as a security token for reuse (examples – SAML, Kerberos). |
| | • User Role – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction. |
| | Purpose of Use - Identifies the purpose for the transaction. |

| Interoperability Need: Reporting cancer cases to public health agencies | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
| Standard | HL7 Clinical Document Architecture (CDA®), Release 2.0, Final Edition | Final | Production | ••••• | Yes | Free | No |
| Implementation Specification | HL7 Implementation Guide for CDA® Release 2: Reporting to Public Health Cancer Registries from Ambulatory Healthcare Providers, Release 1 - US Realm | Balloted Draft | Production | •••• | No | Free | Yes |

| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
|---|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Emerging Alternative Implementation Specification | HL7 CDA ® Release 2 Implementation Guide: Reporting to Public Health Cancer Registries from Ambulatory Healthcare Providers, Release 1, DSTU Release 1.1 – US Realm | Balloted Draft | Pilot | •0000 | Yes | Free | No |
| Emerging Alternative Implementation Specification | IHE Quality, Research, and Public Health Technical Framework Supplement, Structured Data Capture, Trial Implementation | Balloted Draft | Pilot | •0000 | No | Free | No |
| Emerging Alternative Implementation Specification | HL7 FHIR DSTU 2, Structured Data Capture (SDC) Implementation Guide | Balloted Draft | Pilot | •0000 | No | Free | No |

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:

- Stakeholders should refer to the health department in their state or local jurisdiction to determine onboarding procedures, obtain a jurisdictional implementation guide if applicable, and determine which transport methods are acceptable for submitting cancer reporting data as there may be jurisdictional variation or requirements. Some jurisdictions may not support cancer case reporting at this time.
- COMMENT: The Collaborative supports using HL7 CDA, Release 2.0, Final Edition and the Implementation Guide for CDA Release 2 Level 3: Healthcare Association Infection Reports, Release 1, US Realm, and HL7 FHIR DSTU 2, Structured Data Capture Implementation Guide.

Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:

- Secure Communication create a secure channel for client-to- serve and server-to-server communication.
- **Secure Message Router** securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery.
- Authentication Enforcer centralized authentication processes.
- Authorization Enforcer specified policies access control.
- Credential Tokenizer encapsulate credentials as a security token for reuse (examples – SAML, Kerberos).
- User Role identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction.
- **Purpose of Use** Identifies the purpose for the transaction.

| Interoperability Need: (| Interoperability Need: Case reporting to public health agencies | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|--|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability | |
| 1- Implementation Specification | IHE Quality, Research, and Public Health Technical Framework Supplement, Structured Data Capture, Trial Implementation | Balloted Draft | Pilot | •0000 | No | Free | No | |
| 1-Implementation Specification | IHE IT Infrastructure Technical Framework, Volume 1 (ITI TF-1): Integration Profiles, Section 17: Retrieve Form for Data Capture (RFD) | Balloted Draft | Pilot | •0000 | No | Free | No | |
| 2-Standard | Fast Healthcare Interoperability Resources (FHIR), DSTU 2 | Balloted Draft | Pilot | •0000 | No | Free | No | |

| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
|--|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| 2- Emerging Alternative Implementation Specification | HL7 FHIR DSTU 2, Structured Data Capture (SDC) Implementation Guide | Balloted Draft | Pilot | •0000 | No | Free | No |

| Specification | (SDC) Implementation Guide | Banorea | Dr uj i | 1 1101 | •0000 | 110 | 1700 | |
|---|--|---------------|---|---|--|---|-------------------------|---------|
| Electronic case reporting jurisdiction. Structured Data Capture I vocabulary to standard vocabulary to st | entation guides related to public health reporting for ed under a specialized registry with associated standard measure. These include: etection and Intervention (EHDI) tions Affairs (OPA) Family Planning Reporting II aborative supports using HL7 Consolidate alth Interoperability Resources (FHIR); and | llow. dards • | Secure to-serve Secure outbour Authen Author Creden reuse (6 | Security Patterns for Communication — or communication. Message Router — so and messages without attention Enforcer — sization Enforcer — encexamples — SAML, Hole — identifies the role of Use - Identifies | securely route and of interruption of deli- centralized authen specified policies a capsulate credentia Kerberos). | enforce policy livery. ntication proces access control. als as a security individual initi | on inbousses. token fo | and and |

| Interoperability Need: | Electronic transmission of reportable la | b results to public | health agencies | | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
| Standard | HL7 2.5.1 | Final | Production | •••• | Yes | Free | No |
| Implementation Specification | HL7 Version 2.5.1: Implementation Guide: Electronic Laboratory Reporting to Public Health (US Realm), Release 1 with Errata and Clarifications and ELR 2.5.1 Clarification Document for EHR Technology Certification | Final | Production | •••• | Yes | Free | Yes |
| Emerging Alternative Implementation Specification | HL7 Version 2.5.1 Implementation Guide: Electronic Laboratory Reporting to Public Health, Release 2 (US Realm), Draft Standard for Trial Use, Release 1.1 | Balloted Draft | Pilot | Unknown | No | Free | No |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Aŗ | pplicable Security Patterns for Consideration: |
|---|----|---|
| • Stakeholders should refer to the health department in their state or local jurisdiction | • | Secure Communication – create a secure channel for client-to- serve and server- |
| to determine onboarding procedures, obtain a jurisdictional implementation guide if | | to-server communication. |

- applicable, and determine which transport methods are acceptable for submitting ELR as there may be jurisdictional variation or requirements.
- COMMENT: The Collaborative supports using HL7 Version 2.5.1; HL7 2.5.1: Implementation Guide: Electronic Laboratory Reporting to Public Health (US Realm) et al; and HL7 2.5.1 Implementation Guide: Electronic Laboratory Reporting to Public Health, Release 2 (US Realm), Draft Standard for Trial Use, Release 1.1.
- **Secure Message Router** securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery.
- **Authentication Enforcer** centralized authentication processes.
- Authorization Enforcer specified policies access control.
- Credential Tokenizer encapsulate credentials as a security token for reuse (examples SAML, Kerberos).
- User Role identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction.
- **Purpose of Use** Identifies the purpose for the transaction.

| Interoperability Need: Sending health care survey information to public health agencies | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
| Standard | HL7 Clinical Document Architecture (CDA®), Release 2.0, Final Edition | Final | Production | ••••• | No | Free | No |
| Implementation Specification | HL7 Implementation Guide for CDA® R2: National Health Care Surveys (NHCS), Release 1 - US Realm | Balloted Draft | Pilot | •0000 | Yes | Free | No |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration: |
|--|--|
| This is a national reporting system to CDC. Stakeholders should refer to the National Health Care Survey Program at: | • Secure Communication – create a secure channel for client-to- serve and server-to-server communication. |
| http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhcs/how_to_participate.htm for information on participation. | • Secure Message Router – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery. |
| | • Authentication Enforcer – centralized authentication processes. |
| • COMMENT: The Collaborative supports using HL7 CDA, Release 2.0, | • Authorization Enforcer – specified policies access control. |
| Final Edition and HL7 Implementation Guide for CDA R2: National Health Care Services, Release 1 – US Realm. | • Credential Tokenizer – encapsulate credentials as a security token for reuse (examples – SAML, Kerberos). |
| | • User Role – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction. |
| | • Purpose of Use - Identifies the purpose for the transaction. |

| Interoperability Need: Reporting administered immunizations to immunization registry | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
| Standard | <u>HL7 2.5.1</u> | Final | Production | •••• | Yes | Free | No |

| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
|---|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Implementation Specification | HL7 2.5.1 Implementation Guide for Immunization Messaging, Release 1.4 | Final | Production | ••••• | Yes | Free | Yes |
| Emerging Alternative Implementation Specification | HL7 2.5.1 Implementation Guide for Immunization Messaging, Release 1.5 | Final | Production | •0000 | Yes | Free | <u>Yes</u> |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration: |
|--|---|
| Stakeholders should refer to the health department in their state or local jurisdiction to determine onboarding procedures, obtain a jurisdictional implementation guide if applicable, and determine which transport methods are acceptable for submitting immunization registry data as there may be jurisdictional variation or requirements. HL7 2.5.1 Implementation Guide for Immunization Messaging, Release 1.5 – Addendum is also available. | Secure Communication – create a secure channel for client-to- serve and server-to-server communication. Secure Message Router – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery. Authentication Enforcer – centralized authentication processes. Authorization Enforcer – specified policies access control. |
| • COMMENT: The Collaborative supports using HL7 Version 2.5.1; HL7 2.5.1 Implementation Guide for Immunization Messaging, Release 1.4 and 1.5. | Credential Tokenizer – encapsulate credentials as a security token for reuse (examples – SAML, Kerberos). User Role – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction. Purpose of Use - Identifies the purpose for the transaction. |

| Interoperability Need: Reporting syndromic surveillance to public health (emergency department, inpatient, and urgent care settings) | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability | | | |
| Standard | <u>HL7 2.5.1</u> | Final | Production | •••• | Yes | Free | No | | | |
| Implementation Specification | PHIN Messaging Guide for Syndromic Surveillance: Emergency Department and Urgent Care Data Release 1.1 | Final | Production | •••• | Yes | Free | <u>Yes</u> | | | |
| Emerging Alternative Implementation Specification | PHIN Messaging Guide for Syndromic Surveillance: Emergency Department, Urgent Care, Inpatient and Ambulatory Care Settings, Release 2.0 | Final | Pilot | •0000 | <u>Yes</u> | Free | No | | | |
| Limitations, Dependencies, | Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration: | | | | | | | | | |

- Stakeholders should refer to the health department in their state or local jurisdiction to determine onboarding procedures, obtain a jurisdictional implementation guide if applicable, and determine which transport methods are acceptable for submitting syndromic surveillance data as there may be jurisdictional variation or requirements.
- An <u>Erratum to the CDC PHIN 2.0 Implementation Guide</u> was issued in August, 2015. Implementers should refer to this guide for additional information and conformance guidance.
- COMMENT: The Collaborative supports using HL7 Version 2.5.1 and PHIN Messaging Guide for Syndromic Surveillance: Emergency Department and Urgent Care Data Release 1.1.

- Secure Communication create a secure channel for client-to- serve and serverto-server communication.
- **Secure Message Router** securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery.
- **Authentication Enforcer** centralized authentication processes.
- **Authorization Enforcer** specified policies access control.
- Credential Tokenizer encapsulate credentials as a security token for reuse (examples SAML, Kerberos).
- User Role identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction.
- **Purpose of Use** Identifies the purpose for the transaction.

II-L: Quality Reporting

| Interoperability Need: Reporting aggregate quality data to federal quality reporting initiatives | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability | | | | |
| Standard | HL7 Clinical Document Architecture (CDA®), Release 2.0, Final Edition | Final | Production | •••• | No | Free | No | | | | |
| Implementation Specification | HL7 Implementation Guide for CDA® Release 2: Quality Reporting Document Architecture - Category III (QRDA III), DRAFT Release 1 | Balloted Draft | Production | •••• | Yes | Free | Yes | | | | |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration: |
|---|---|
| COMMENT: The Collaborative supports using proposed HL7 CDA | No comment. |
| editions noted above. | |

| Interoperability Need: Reporting patient-level quality data to federal quality reporting initiatives | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability | | | |
| Standard | HL7 Clinical Document Architecture (CDA®), Release 2.0, Final Edition | Final | Production | ••••• | No | Free | No | | | |
| Implementation Specification | HL7 Implementation Guide for CDA® Release 2: Quality Reporting Document Architecture – Category I, DSTU Release 2 (US Realm) | Balloted Draft | Production | •••• | Yes | Free | Yes | | | |

| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
|---|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Emerging Alternative Implementation Specification | HL7 CDA® R2 Implementation Guide: Quality Reporting Document Architecture - Category I (QRDA I) DSTU Release 3 (US Realm) | Balloted Draft | Pilot | •0000 | <u>Yes</u> | Free | Yes |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applic | cable Security Patterns for Consideration: |
|---|--------|--|
| COMMENT: The Collaborative supports using proposed HL7 CDA | • N | lo comment. |
| editions noted above. | | |

II-M: Representing clinical health information as a "resource"

[See Question 6]

| Interoperability Need: Representing clinical health information as "resource" | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability | | | |
| Standard | Fast Healthcare Interoperability Resources (FHIR), DSTU 2 | Balloted Draft | Pilot | •0000 | No | Free | Yes | | | |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration: |
|---|---|
| • HL7 defines a "resource" as an entity that: has a known identity (a url) by which it can be addressed; identifies itself as one of the types of resource defined in the FHIR specification; contains a set of structured data items as described by the definition of the resource type; and, has an identified version that changes if the contents of the resource change | No comment. |
| COMMENT: The Collaborative supports using Fast Healthcare Interoperability Resources (FHIR). | |

II-N: Segmentation of sensitive information

| II I W Segmentation | 11 11 beginnentation of bensitive intol mation | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| Interoperability Need: Document-level segmentation of sensitive information | | | | | | | | | | |
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability | | | |
| Standard | HL7 Clinical Document Architecture (CDA®), Release 2.0, Final Edition | Final | Production | •••• | No | Free | No | | | |

| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
|---------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Implementation Specification | Consolidated HL7 Implementation Guide: Data Segmentation for Privacy (DS4P), Release 1 | Final | Pilot | •0000 | Yes | Free | No |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | App | olicable Security Patterns fo | r Consideration: | | |
|--|-----|-------------------------------|------------------|--|--|
| • COMMENT: The Collaborative supports using HL7 CDA, Release 2.0, | • | No comment. | | | |
| Final Edition and the Consolidated HL7 Implementation Guide: Data | | | | | |
| Segmentation for Privacy (DS4P), Release 1. | | | | | |

II-O: Summary care record

| Interoperability Need: \$\frac{1}{2}\$ | Support a transition of care or referral | to another health o | are provider | | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
| Standard | HL7 Clinical Document Architecture (CDA®), Release 2.0, Final Edition | Final | Production | •••• | No | Free | No |
| Implementation Specification | Consolidated CDA® Release 1.1 (HL7 Implementation Guide for CDA® Release 2: IHE Health Story Consolidation, DSTU Release 1.1 - US Realm) | Balloted Draft | Production | •••• | Yes | Free | Yes |
| Emerging Alternative Implementation Specification | HL7 Implementation Guide for CDA® Release 2: Consolidated CDA Templates for Clinical Notes (US Realm), Draft Standard for Trial Use, Release 2.1 | Balloted Draft | Pilot | Unknown | <u>Yes</u> | Free | No |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration: | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| There are several specific document templates within the C-CDA implementation specification. Trading partners will need to ensure that their systems are capable of supporting specific document templates. | No comment. | | | |
| COMMENT: The Collaborative supports using HL7 Consolidated CDA | | | | |
| Release 1.1 (HL7 Implementation Guide for CDA Release 2: IHE | | | | |
| Health Story Consolidation, DSTU Release 1.1 – US Realm). | | | | |

Section III: Best Available Standards and Implementation Specifications for Services

For Direct, interoperability may be dependent on the establishment of "trust"

III-A: "Push" Exchange

| Interoperability Need: An unsolicited "push" of clinical health information to a known destination between individuals and systems | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|--|--|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability | | |
| 1- Standard | Applicability Statement for Secure Health Transport v1.1 ("Direct") | Final | Production | •••• | Yes | Free | Yes | | |
| 2 - Emerging Alternative Standard | Applicability Statement for Secure Health Transport v1.2 | Final | Pilot | •0000 | <u>Yes</u> | Free | <u>Yes</u> | | |
| 1, 2, 3 - Implementation Specification | IG for Direct Edge Protocols | Final | Production | ••000 | Yes | Free | Yes | | |
| 1, 2 - Implementation Specification | IG for Delivery Notification in Direct | Final | Production | •••• | Yes | Free | Yes | | |
| 1, 2, 3 - Implementation Specification | XDR and XDM for Direct Messaging Specification | Final | Production | •••• | Yes | Free | Yes | | |
| 3 – Standard | IHE-XDR (Cross-Enterprise Document Reliable Interchange) | Final | Production | •••• | Yes | Free | Yes | | |
| 4 - Emerging Alternative Standard | Fast Healthcare Interoperability Resources (FHIR) DSTU 2 | Balloted Draft | Pilot | •0000 | No | Free | No | | |
| 3, 4 - Emerging Alternative Implementation Specification | IHE-MHD (Mobile Access to Health Documents | Balloted Draft | Pilot | •0000 | No | Free | No | | |
| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: "Direct" standard is based upon the underlying standard: Simple Mail Transfer Protocol (SMTP) RFC 5321 and for security uses Secure/Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (S/MIME) Version 3.2 Message Specification, RFC 5751. Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration: | | | | | | | | | |

intended user

- between two parties and may vary based on the trust community(ies) to which parties belong.
- The reference to FHIR for this interoperability need is in relation to the transport services that are conformant to the "RESTful FHIR API"
- The MHD supplement is based on FHIR DSTU1.1. The IHE MHD committee is currently working to update the MHD profile and planning to release it to implementers in first quarter calendar year 2016.
- COMMENT: The Collaborative supports using the above standards proposed for "push" of clinical health information. The Collaborative strongly believes that it is vitally important to include pharmacists in this interoperability element.

- **Sender Signature** details that are necessary to identity of the individual sending the message
- **Secure Communication** create a secure channel for client-to- serve and server-to-server communication.
- **Secure Message Router** securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery.

| Interoperability Need: An unsolicited "push" of clinical health information to a known destination between systems | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|--|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability | |
| 1- Standard | SOAP-Based Secure Transport Requirements Traceability Matrix (RTM) version 1.0 specification | Final | Production | •••• | Yes | Free | Yes | |
| 2- Implementation Specification | IHE-XDR (Cross-Enterprise Document Reliable Interchange) | Final | Production | •••• | No | Free | Yes | |
| 1 - Implementation Specification | NwHIN Specification: Messaging Platform | Final | Production | •••• | No | Free | No | |
| 1- Implementation Specification | NwHIN Specification: Authorization Framework | Final | Production | •••• | No | Free | No | |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | | | Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration: | | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| | The IHE-XDR implementation specification is based upon the underlying standards: SOAP v2, and OASIS ebXML Registry Services 3.0 The NwHIN Specification: Authorization Framework implementation specification | • | Secure Communication – create a secure channel for client-to- serve and server-to-server communication. Secure Message Router – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and | | | |
| | is based upon the underlying standards: SAML v1.2, XSPAv1.0, and WS-1.1. | • | outbound messages without interruption of delivery. Authentication Enforcer – centralized authentication processes. | | | |

| • | COMMENT: The Collaborative supports using the above standards |
|---|---|
| | proposed for "push" of clinical health information. The |
| | Collaborative strongly believes that it is vitally important to include |
| | pharmacists in this interoperability element. |

- Authorization Enforcer specified policies access control.
- Credential Tokenizer encapsulate credentials as a security token for reuse (examples – SAML, Kerberos).
- **Assertion Builder** define processing logic for identity, authorization and attribute statements.
- User Role identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction.
- **Purpose of Use** Identifies the purpose for the transaction.

III-B: Clinical Decision Support Services

| Interoperability Need: | Interoperability Need: Providing patient-specific assessments and recommendations based on patient data for clinical decision support | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|----------------------|---|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|--|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standard Maturity | ds Process | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability | |
| 1- Standard | HL7 Version 3 Standard: Decision Support Service, Release 2. | Balloted Draft | | Pilot | •0000 | No | Free | No | |
| 1- Implementation Specification | HL7 Implementation Guide: Decision Support Service, Release 1.1, US Realm, Draft Standard for Trial Use | Balloted Draft | | Pilot | •0000 | No | Free | No | |
| 2-Emerging Alternative Implementation Specification | IHE- GAO (Guideline Appropriate Ordering) | Balloted Draft | | Pilot | •0000 | No | Free | No | |
| 3-Emerging Alternative Implementation Specification | IHE-CDS-OAT (Clinical Decision Support – Order Appropriateness Tracking) | Balloted Draft | | Pilot | •0000 | No | Free | No | |
| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | | | Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration: | | | | | | |
| • COMMENT: The Collaborative supports using HL7 Version 3 | | | No cor | mment. | | | | | |
| Standard: Decision Support Service, Release 2 and the HL7 Implementation Guide. | | | | | | | | | |

Interoperability Need: Retrieval of contextually relevant, patient-specific knowledge resources from within clinical information systems to answer clinical questions raised by patients in the course of care

| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
|------------|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| 1-Standard | HL7 Version 3 Standard: Context Aware Knowledge Retrieval Application. ("Infobutton"), Knowledge Request, Release 2. | Final | Production | •••00 | Yes | Free | No |

| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
|-----------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| 1-Implementation Specification | HL7 Implementation Guide: Service-Oriented Architecture Implementations of the Context- aware Knowledge Retrieval (Infobutton) Domain, Release 1. | Final | Production | •••• | Yes | Free | No |
| 1-Implementation Specification | HL7 Version 3 Implementation Guide: Context-Aware Knowledge Retrieval (Infobutton), Release 4. | Final | Production | •••• | Yes | Free | No |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration: |
|--|---|
| COMMENT: The Collaborative supports using HL7 Implementation | No comment. |
| Guide: Service-Oriented Architecture Implementations of the | |
| Context-aware Knowledge Retrieval (Infobutton) Domain, Release 1 | |
| and the HL7 Version 3 Implementation Guide (Infobutton), Release | |
| 4. | |

III-C: Image Exchange

| Interoperability Need: | Interoperability Need: Exchanging imaging documents within a specific health information exchange domain | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability | | | |
| 1-Implementation Specification | IHE Cross Enterprise Document Sharing for Images (XDS-I.b) | Final | Pilot | •0000 | No | Free | Yes | | | |
| 1,2-Implementation Specification | IHE-PDQ (Patient Demographic Query) | Final | Production | •••• | No | Free | No | | | |
| 1,2-Implementation Specification | IHE-PIX (Patient Identifier Cross-Reference) | Final | Production | •••• | No | Free | No | | | |
| 2-Emerging Alternative Implementation Specification | IHE – MHD-I (Mobile Access to Health Documents for Imaging) | Balloted Draft | Pilot | •0000 | No | Free | No | | | |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration: | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| IHE-PIX and IHE-PDQ are used for the purposes of patient matching and to support this interoperability need. | • Secure Communication – create a secure channel for client-to- serve and server-to-server communication. | | | | |
| No comment. | • Secure Message Router – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery. | | | | |
| | • Authentication Enforcer – centralized authentication processes. | | | | |
| | Authorization Enforcer – specified policies access control. | | | | |

| • | Credential Tokenizer – encapsulate credentials as a security token for reuse (examples – SAML, Kerberos). |
|---|--|
| • | Assertion Builder – define processing logic for identity, authorization and attribute statements. |
| • | User Role – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction. |
| • | Purpose of Use - Identifies the purpose for the transaction. |

| Interoperability Need: Exchanging imaging documents outside a specific health information exchange domain | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability | | | | |
| Implementation Specification | IHE Cross Community Access for Imaging (XCA-I) | Final | Pilot | •0000 | No | Free | Yes | | | | |
| Implementation Specifications | the combination of IHE-XCPD (Cross- Community Patient Discovery) and IHE-PIX (Patient Identifier Cross-Reference) | Final | Production | •••• | No | Free | No | | | | |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: A | | | Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration: | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | • IHE-PIX and IHE-XCPD are used for the purposes of patient matching and to support this interoperability need. | • | Secure Message Router – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery. | | | | |
| | | • | Authentication Enforcer – centralized authentication processes. | | | | |
| | No comment. | | Authorization Enforcer – specified policies access control. | | | | |
| | | • | Credential Tokenizer – encapsulate credentials as a security token for | | | | |
| | | | reuse (examples – SAML, Kerberos). | | | | |

III-D: Provider Directory

| Interoperability Need: Listing of providers for access by potential exchange partners | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|------|--------------|--|--|--|
| | | Standards Process | Implementation | Adoption | Federally | | Test Tool | | | |
| Type | Standard/Implementation Specification | Maturity | Maturity | Level | Required | Cost | Availability | | | |

| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
|------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| 1-Implementation Specification | IHE IT Infrastructure Technical Framework Supplement, Healthcare Provider Directory (HPD), Trial Implementation | Balloted Draft | Pilot | •0000 | No | Free | Yes |
| 2-Emerging Alternative Standard | Fast Healthcare Interoperability Resources (FHIR), DSTU 2 | Balloted Draft | Pilot | •0000 | No | Free | No |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration: |
|--|--|
| • The following URL provides links to relevant FHIR Resource, Practitioner - http://www.hl7.org/implement/standards/fhir/practitioner.html | • Secure Message Router – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery. |
| FHIR Resources are in various stages of maturity. Please refer to the FHIR for updates on specific profiles and their progress. | Taking and the control of the contro |
| for updates on specific profiles and their progress. | • Authorization Enforcer – specified policies access control. |
| COMMENT: The Collaborative supports using IHE IT Infrastructu | Credential Tokenizer – encapsulate credentials as a security token for reuse (examples – SAML, Kerberos). |
| Technical Framework Supplement, Healthcare Provider Director Trial Implementation. | • Assertion Builder – define processing logic for identity, authorization and attribute statements. |
| · | • User Role – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction. |
| | • User Details - identifies the end user who is accessing the data. |

III-E: Publish and Subscribe

| Interoperability Need: | nteroperability Need: Publish and subscribe message exchange | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability | | | | |
| 1-Implementation Specification | NwHIN Specification: Health Information Event Messaging Production Specification | Final | Production | •0000 | No | Free | No | | | | |
| 2-Emerging Alternative Implementation Specification | IHE Document Metadata Subscription (DSUB), Trial Implementation | Balloted Draft | Pilot | •••00 | No | Free | No | | | | |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration: | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| COMMENT: The Collaborative supports using the above proposed NwHIN Specification and IHE Document Metadata Subscription. | Secure Communication – create a secure channel for client-to- serve and server-to-server communication. | | | | |
| | Secure Message Router – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery. | | | | |
| | Authentication Enforcer – centralized authentication processes. | | | | |
| | Authorization Enforcer – specified policies access control. | | | | |
| | Credential Tokenizer – encapsulate credentials as a security token for | | | | |
| | reuse (examples – SAML, Kerberos). | | | | |

| • | Assertion Builder – define processing logic for identity, authorization and attribute |
|---|---|
| | statements. |
| • | User Role – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction. |

III-F: Query

| Interoperability Need: (| Query for documents within a specific | health information | exchange domai | in | | | |
|--|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
| 1-Implementation Specification | IHE-XDS (Cross-enterprise document sharing) | Final | Production | •••• | No | Free | Yes |
| 1,2-Implementation Specification | IHE-PDQ (Patient Demographic Query) | Final | Production | •••• | No | Free | Yes |
| 1,2-Implementation Specification | IHE-PIX (Patient Identifier Cross-Reference) | Final | Production | •••• | No | Free | Yes |
| 2- Emerging Alternative Implementation Specification | IHE – MHD (Mobile Access to Health Documents) | Balloted Draft | Pilot | •0000 | No | Free | No |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration | : |
|--|---|
|--|---|

- IHE-PIX and IHE-PDQ are used for the purposes of patient matching and to support this interoperability need.
- The MHD supplement is based on FHIR DSTU1.1. The IHE MHD committee is currently working to update the MHD profile and planning to release it to implementers in first quarter calendar year 2016.
- COMMENT: The Collaborative supports using IHE-XDS, PDQ, and PIX.

Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:

- Secure Communication create a secure channel for client-to- serve and serverto-server communication.
- **Secure Message Router** securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery.
- **Authentication Enforcer** centralized authentication processes.

Purpose of Use - Identifies the purpose for the transaction.

- Authorization Enforcer specified policies access control.
- Credential Tokenizer encapsulate credentials as a security token for reuse (examples SAML, Kerberos). Message Interceptor Gateway provide a single entry point solution for centralization of security enforcement for incoming and outgoing XML WebService messages.
- System Authentication The information and process necessary to authenticate the systems involved
- User Authentication The identity information and process necessary verify the user's identity
- **User Role** identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction.

- **Purpose of Use** Identifies the purpose for the transaction.
- Patient Consent Information Identifies the patient consent information that:
 - o May be required to authorize any exchange of patient information
 - o May be required to authorized access and use of patient information
 - o May be required to be sent along with disclosed patient information to advise the receiver about policies to which end users must comply
- Security Labeling the health information is labeled with security metadata

| Interoperability Need: (| nteroperability Need: Query for documents outside a specific health information exchange domain | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability | | | |
| 1-Implementation Specification | IHE-XCA (Cross-Community Access) | Final | Production | •••• | No | Free | No | | | |
| Implementation Specifications | the combination of IHE-XCPD (Cross-Community Patient Discovery) and IHE-PIX (Patient Identifier Cross-Reference) | Final | Production | •••• | No | Free | No | | | |
| Implementation Specification | NwHIN Specification: Patient Discovery | Final | Production | •••00 | No | Free | No | | | |
| Implementation Specification | NwHIN Specification: Query for Documents | Final | Production | •••00 | No | Free | No | | | |
| Implementation Specification | NwHIN Specification: Retrieve Documents | Final | Production | •••00 | No | Free | No | | | |

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:

- IHE-PIX and IHE-XCPD are used for the purposes of patient matching and to support this interoperability need.
- COMMENT: The Collaborative supports using all of the above-proposed standards for this interoperability element, and especially FHIR when it becomes available. The Collaborative also strongly believes it is important for pharmacists, as providers, should have access to queries for clinical information. They should not to be limited or excluded from queries.

Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:

- **System Authentication** The information and process necessary to authenticate the systems involved
- **User Authentication** The information and process necessary to authenticate the end user
- User Details identifies the end user who is accessing the data
- User Role identifies the roles and clearances asserted by the individual initiating the transaction for purposes of authorization. E.g., the system must verify the initiator's claims and match them against the security labels for the functionalities that the user attempts to initiate and the objects the user attempts to access.
- Purpose of Use Identifies the purpose for the transaction, and for the purposes for

| List the end annint of the end of the end of the end |
|--|
| which the end user intends to use the accessed objects |
| • Patient Consent Information - Identifies the patient consent information that may |
| be required before data can be accessed. |
| May be required to authorize any exchange of patient information |
| May be required to authorized access and use of patient information |
| May be required to be sent along with disclosed patient information to |
| advise the receiver about policies to which end users must comply |
| • Query Request ID - Query requesting application assigns a unique identifier for |
| each query request in order to match the response to the original query. |
| Security Labeling – the health information is labeled with security metadata |
| necessary for access control by the end user. |

| Interoperability Need: Data element based query for clinical health information | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability | | | |
| Standard | Fast Healthcare Interoperability Resources (FHIR), DSTU 2 | Balloted Draft | Pilot | •0000 | No | Free | No | | | |

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:

- The following URL provides links to relevant FHIR resources http://www.hl7.org/implement/standards/fhir/resourcelist.html
- FHIR Resources are in various stages of maturity. Please refer to the FHIR website for updates on specific profiles and their progress.
- COMMENT: The Collaborative supports using all of the above-proposed standards for this interoperability element, and especially FHIR when it becomes available. The Collaborative also strongly believes it is important for pharmacists, as providers, should have access to queries for clinical information. They should not to be limited or excluded from queries.

Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:

- **System Authentication** The information and process necessary to authenticate the systems involved
- User Details identifies the end user who is accessing the data
- User Role identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction.
- **Purpose of Use** Identifies the purpose for the transaction.
- Patient Consent Information Identifies the patient consent information that may be required before data can be accessed.
 - \circ May be required to authorize any exchange of patient information
 - o May be required to authorized access and use of patient information
 - o May be required to be sent along with disclosed patient information to
 - o advise the receiver about policies to which end users must comply
- **Security Labeling** the health information is labeled with security metadata necessary for access control by the end user.
- **Query Request ID** Query requesting application assigns a unique identifier for each query request in order to match the response to the original query.

III-G: Resource Location

Interoperability Need: Resource location within the US

| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
|---------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Implementation Specification | IHE IT Infrastructure Technical Framework Supplement, Care Services Discovery (CSD), Trial Implementation | Balloted Draft | Pilot | •0000 | No | Free | Yes |

| Li | mitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Ap | oplicable Security Patterns for Consideration: |
|----|---|----|--|
| • | COMMENT: The Collaborative supports using IHE IT Infrastructure Technical Framework Supplement, Care Services Discovery, Trial Implementation. | • | System Authentication - The information and process necessary to authenticate the systems involved User Details - identifies the end user who is accessing the data User Role - identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction. Purpose of Use - Identifies the purpose for the transaction. |

Section IV: Projected Additions to the ISA

The following tables represent projected additions to the ISA. They represent different and additional interoperability needs for which there may be "best available" standards or implementation specifications which have not yet been reviewed through the ISA's comment process. ONC seeks feedback from stakeholders as to whether the proposed interoperability needs and/or standards are accurate and would be beneficial additions to the ISA. See additional questions in Section V for specific areas where feedback is requested.

Projected Vocabulary/Code Set/Terminology Standards and Specifications:

Family Health History

| Interoperability Need: Representing patient family health history observations (questions) | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
| Standard | LOINC | Final | Production | •••• | | Free | N/A |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable Value Set(s): |
|---|---|
| COMMENT: The Collaborative supports using LOINC. | • Problem Type 2.16.840.1.113883.3.88.12.3221.7.2 (LOINC code system) |

Gender Identity, Sex and, Sexual Orientation

Interoperability Need: Representing patient gender identity observations (questions)

| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
|----------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Standard | LOINC | Final | Unknown | Unknown | No | Free | N/A |

| I | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable Value Set(s): | | | | | | | |
| | • The HIT Standards Committee recommended collecting discrete structured data on patient gender identity, sex, and sexual orientation following recommendations issued in a <u>report</u> by The Fenway Institute and the Institute of Medicine. | LOINC code: 76691-5 Gender identity | | | | | | | |
| | COMMENT: The Collaborative supports using LOINC. | | | | | | | | |

| Interoperability Need: 1 | Representing patient sex (at birth) obse | rvations (questions | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
| Standard | LOINC | Final | Production | | No | Free | N/A |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable Value Set(s): |
|--|---|
| • The HIT Standards Committee recommended collecting discrete structured data on patient gender identity, sex, and sexual orientation following recommendations issued in a <u>report</u> by The Fenway Institute and the Institute of Medicine. | One LOINC code: 76689-9 Sex assigned at birth |
| COMMENT: The Collaborative supports using LOINC. | |

| Interoperability Need: Representing patient-identified sexual orientation observations (questions) | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standar Maturit | ds Process y | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
| Standard | LOINC | 1 | Final | Unknown | Unknown | No | Free | N/A |
| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | | | Applicable | Value Set(s): | | | | |
| The HIT Standards Committee recommended collecting discrete structured data on patient gender identity, sex, and sexual orientation following recommendations issued in a report by The Fenway Institute and the Institute of Medicine. | | | • LOINC | code: 76690-7 Sexu | al orientation. | | | |
| COMMENT: The Collabo | orative supports using LOINC. | | | | | | | |

Health Care Provider

| Interoperability Need: | Provider role in care setting | | | | | | |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
| Standard | SNOMED-CT | Final | Unknown | ••000 | No | Free | N/A |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable Value Set(s): |
|---|---|
| COMMENT: The Collaborative supports using SNOMED CT. | Healthcare Provider Taxonomy (HIPAA): 2.16.840.1.114222.4.11.1066 |
| | HL7 Participation Function |

| • Subjects role in the care setting (SNOMED-CT) |
|---|
|---|

Lab Tests

| Interoperability Need: Representing numerical laboratory test order observations (questions/what will be tested) | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
| Standard | LOINC | Final | Production | •••00 | Yes | Free | N/A |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable Value Set(s): |
|---|--|
| The HIT Standards Committee recommended that laboratory test and observation work in conjunction with values or results which can be answered numerically of categorically. If the value/result/answer to a laboratory test and observation is categorical that answer should be represented with the SNOMED-CT terminolo Where LOINC codes do not exist, it is possible to request a new LOINC term be created. A number of factors may determine the length of time required for a ne code to be created. A single lab test with a single result will have the same LOINC term for its order and result answer, but a panel order will have an order LOINC term and multiple result LOINC terms for each result in the panel. | Orders OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.12009.10.2. (if need be, the rest of LOINC) gy. ov |
| COMMENT: The Collaborative supports using LOINC. | |

| Interoperability Need: Representing categorical laboratory test result observation values (answers) | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
| Standard | SNOMED-CT | Final | Production | •••• | No | Free | N/A |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Ap | plicable Value Set(s): |
|--|----|------------------------|
| • The HIT Standards Committee recommended that laboratory test and observation work in conjunction with values or results which can be answered numerically or categorically. If the value/result/answer to a laboratory test and observation is categorical that answer should be represented with the SNOMED-CT terminology. | • | No comment. |
| • COMMENT: The Collaborative supports using SNOMED CT. | | |

Nursing

| Interoperability Need: Representing nursing assessments | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
| Standard | LOINC | Final | Production | Unknown | No | Free | N/A |
| Standard | SNOMED-CT | Final | Production | Unknown | No | Free | N/A |

| Ī | Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable Value Set(s): |
|---|---|--------------------------|
| | Assessments are represented as question/answer (name/value) pairs. They are not represented in other terminologies. LOINC should be used for the assessment/observation questions and SNOMED CT for the assessment/observation answers (value sets, choice lists). | No comment. |
| | COMMENT: The Collaborative supports using LOINC and SNOMED CT. | |

| Interoperability Need: | Representing outcomes for nursing | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
| Standard | LOINC | Final | Production | Unknown | No | Free | N/A |
| , 1 | and Preconditions for Consideration: | | Value Set(s): | | | | |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | A | oplicable Value Set(s): |
|---|---|-------------------------|
| Other ANA-recognized terminologies should be converted to LOINC for | • | No comment. |
| comparison across health systems and/or transmission. | | |
| 1 | | |
| COMMENT: The Collaborative supports using LOINC. | | |

| Interoperability Need: Representing patient problems for nursing | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Type | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |

| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
|----------|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Standard | SNOMED-CT | Final | Production | Unknown | No | Free | N/A |
| / 1 | and Preconditions for Consideration: terminologies should be converted to SNOMED-C | | Value Set(s): | | | | |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable value Set(s): |
|---|--------------------------|
| Other ANA-recognized terminologies should be converted to SNOMED-CT for comparison across health systems and/or transmission. | No comment. |
| • COMMENT: The Collaborative supports using SNOMED CT. | |

| | Interoperability Need: Representing nursing interventions and observations (observations are assessment items) | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| | Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
| | Standard | SNOMED-CT | Final | Production | Unknown | No | Free | N/A |
| 1 | | | | | | | | |

| Ι | imitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicabl | le Value Set(s): |
|---|---|-----------|------------------|
| • | Other ANA-recognized terminologies should be converted to SNOMED-CT for comparison across health systems and/or transmission. | No c | omment. |
| • | COMMENT: The Collaborative supports using SNOMED CT. | | |

Research

| Interoperability Need: | Representing analytic data for research | purposes. | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
| Standard | CDISC Controlled Terminology for Regulatory Standards Hosted by NCI-EVS | Final | Production | ••••• | Yes | Free | N/A |
| Standard | CDISC Controlled Terminology for CDISC Therapeutic Area Standards Hosted by NCI- EVS | Final | Production | •••00 | No | Free | N/A |
| Standard | CDISC Controlled Terminology for Medical Devices Hosted by NCI-EVS | Final | Production | •••00 | No | Free | N/A |
| Limitations, Dependencies, | and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable | Value Set(s): | | | | |

Tobacco Use (Smoking Status)

| Interoperability Need: Representing patient tobacco use (smoking status) observations (questions) | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|--|--|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability | | |
| Standard | LOINC | Final | Production | ••••• | No | Free | N/A | | |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable Value Set(s): |
|--|---|
| • LOINC includes codes that support recording smoking status in the CDC's preferred (and sometimes required) responses (e.g. Tobacco smoking status NHIS [76691-5]) and other kinds of observations (e.g. Have you smoked at least 100 cigarettes in your entire life [PhenX] [63581-3] or How old were you when you first started smoking cigarettes every day [PhenX] [63609-2]. | One LOINC code: 72166-2 "Tobacco smoking status NHIS" |
| COMMENT: The Collaborative supports using LOINC. | |

Projected Content/Structure Standards and Specifications:

Admission, Discharge and Transfer

| Interoperability Need: Sending a notification of a patient's admission, discharge and/or transfer status to the servicing pharmacy | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability | | | |
| Standard | NCPDP SCRIPT Standard, Implementation Guide, Version 10.6 | Final | Production | ••000 | No | \$ | No | | | |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration: |
|--|--|
| • The "Census Message" transaction allows for long-term and post-acute care settings to notify the servicing pharmacy of a patient's admission, discharge and/or | Secure Communication – create a secure channel for client-to- serve and server-to-server communication. |
| transfer status. | Secure Message Router – securely route and enforce policy on inbound and outbound messages without interruption of delivery. |
| COMMENT: The Collaborative supports using NCPDP SCRIPT | Authentication Enforcer – centralized authentication processes. |
| Standard, Implementation Guide, Version 10.6. | Authorization Enforcer – specified policies access control. |
| | Credential Tokenizer – encapsulate credentials as a security token for |
| | reuse (examples – SAML, Kerberos). |

| • | Assertion Builder – define processing logic for identity, authorization and attribute statements. |
|---|--|
| • | User Role – identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction. |
| • | Purpose of Use - Identifies the purpose for the transaction. |

Care Plans

| Interoperability Need: Documenting, planning and summarizing care plans for patients with cancer | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|----------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification Standards Process Maturity | | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability | | | |
| Standard | HL7 Clinical Document Architecture (CDA®), Release 2.0, Final Edition | Final | Production | •••• | No | Free | No | | | |
| Implementation Specification | HL7 CDA® R2 Implementation Guide: Clinical Oncology Treatment Plan and Summary, Release 1 | Balloted Draft | Pilot | Unknown | No | Free | No | | | |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Ap | plicable Security Patterns for Consideration: |
|---|----|---|
| • COMMENT: The Collaborative supports using HL 7 CDA Release 2.0 | • | No comment. |
| and CDA R2 Implementation Guide proposed above. | | |

Clinical Decision Support

| Interoperability Need: I | Interoperability Need: Provide access to appropriate use criteria | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|----------------|-------|---------|----|------|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | Test Tool Availability | | | |
| Emerging Alternative Implementation Specification | IHE: Guideline Appropriate Ordering (GAO) | Balloted Draft | Pilot | Unknown | No | Free | No | | | |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration: |
|---|---|
| No comment. | No comment. |

Interoperability Need: Communicate appropriate use criteria with the order and charge to the filling provider and billing system for inclusion on claims.

| inclusion of claims. | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
| Emerging Alternative Implementation Specification | IHE: Clinical Decision Support Order Appropriateness Tracking (CDS-OAT) | Balloted Draft | Pilot | Unknown | No | Free | No |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration: |
|--|---|
| COMMENT: The Collaborative supports using IHE: Clinical Decision | No comment. |
| Support Order. | |

Images

| Interoperability Need: | Interoperability Need: Format of radiology reports for exchange and distribution | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability | | | | | |
| Implementation Specification | IHE Management of Radiology Report Templates (MRRT) | Balloted Draft | Pilot | Unknown | No | Free | No | | | | | |
| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration: | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration: |
|---|---|
| No comment. | No comment. |

Medical Device Communication to Other Information Systems/Technologies

| Interoperability Need: Transmitting patient vital signs from medical devices to other information systems/technologies | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability | | | |
| Implementation Specification | plementation IHE-PCD (Patient Care Device Profiles) | | Production | ••000 | No | Free | N/A | | | |
| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration: | | | | | | | | | | |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration: |
|---|---|
| COMMENT: The Collaborative supports using IHE-PCD. | No comment. |

Research

| Interoperability Need: | Submission of analytic data to FDA for | bmission of analytic data to FDA for research purposes | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--|--|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability | | | | | |
| Standard | CDISC Study Data Tabulation Model (SDTM) | Final | Production | •••• | Yes | Free | Yes | | | | | |
| Standard | CDISC Analysis Dataset Model (ADaM) | Final | Production | •••00 | Yes | Free | N/A | | | | | |

| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability | |
|---|--|-------------------------------|--|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|--|
| Standard | CDISC Operational Data Model (ODM) | Final | Production | •••• | No | Free | Yes | |
| Standard | CDISC Dataset-XML (ODM-Based) | Final | Production | •0000 | No | Free | N/A | |
| Standard | CDISC Define-XML (ODM-Based) | Final | Production | ••••• | No | Free | N/A | |
| Standard | CDISC Standard for the Exchange of Non- clinical Data (SEND) | Final | Production | •0000 | Yes | Free | N/A | |
| Standard | Study Data Tabulation Model Implementation Guide for Medical Devices (SDTMIG-MD) | Final | Production | •0000 | No | Free | N/A | |
| Standard | Therapeutic Area Standards (to complement the aforementioned CDISC foundational standards that apply across all therapeutic areas) | Final | Production | •0000 | No | Free | N/A | |
| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | | | Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration: • No comment. | | | | | |
| No comment. | | • No co | mment. | | | | | |

| Interoperability Need: | Pre-population of research case report i | forms from electro | nic health recor | ds | | | |
|---------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
| Implementation Specification | IHE-RFD (Retrieve Form for Data Capture) | Final | Production | •••• | No | Free | N/A |
| Implementation Specification | IHE Quality, Research, and Public Health Technical Framework Supplement, Structured Data Capture, Trial Implementation | Balloted Draft | Pilot | •0000 | No | Free | No |
| Implementation Specification | IHE Quality, Research, and Public Health Technical Framework Supplement, Structured Data Capture, Trial Implementation | Balloted Draft | Pilot | •0000 | No | Free | No |
| Implementation Specification | IHE-CRD (Clinical Research Document) | Balloted Draft | Production | ••000 | No | Free | N/A |

| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
|--|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Standard | CDISC Clinical Data Acquisition Standards Harmonization (CDASH) | Final | Production | •••00 | No | Free | N/A |
| Implementation Specification | IHE-XUA (Cross-Enterprise User Assertion) | Final | Production | •••00 | No | Free | N/A |
| Implementation Specification | IHE-ATNA (Audit Trail and Node Authentication) | Final | Production | ••000 | No | Free | N/A |
| Standard | CDISC Shared Health And Research Electronic Library (SHARE) | Final | Production | •••00 | No | Free | N/A |
| Implementation Specification | IHE-DEX (Data Element Exchange) | Balloted Draft | Pilot | •0000 | No | Free | N/A |
| Implementation Specification | HL7 FHIR DSTU 2, Structured Data Capture (SDC) Implementation Guide | Balloted Draft | Pilot | •0000 | No | Free | N/A |
| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: No comment. Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration: No comment. | | | | | | | |

| Interoperability Need: Integrate healthcare and clinical research by leveraging EHRs and other health IT systems while preserving FDA's requirements | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability | | | |
| Standard | IHE- RFD (Retrieve Form for Data Capture) | Final | Production | •••• | No | Free | N/A | | | |
| Standard | HL7 Clinical Document Architecture (CDA®), Release 2.0, Final Edition | Final | Production | ••000 | No | Free | N/A | | | |
| Standard | CDISC Clinical Data Acquisition Standards Harmonization (CDASH) | Final | Production | •••00 | No | Free | N/A | | | |

| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
|--|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Standard | CDISC Operational Data Model (ODM) | Final | | Production | ••••• | No | Free | N/A |
| | nd Preconditions for Consideration: | | Applicable | Security Patterns f | or Consideration: | | | |
| Stakeholders should revie | w 21CFR11 for more details. | | No cor | mment. | | | | |
| COMMENT: The Collaborative supports using IHE-RFD; HL7 CDA, and CDISC as proposed above. | | | | | | | | |

| Interoperability Need: requirements | Integrate healthcare and clinical resear | ch by lev | eraging E | HRs and other l | iealth IT syster | ns while pr | eservir | ng FDA's | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|----------------------|------------|----------------------------|---|-----------------------|---------|---------------------------|--|--|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standard Maturity | ds Process | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability | | |
| Standard | CDISC Protocol Representation Model (PRM) | Final | | Production | •0000 | No | Free | Yes | | |
| Standard | CDISC Study/Trial Design Model (SDM) | Final | | Production | •0000 | No | Free | N/A | | |
| Implementation Specification | IHE-RPE (Retrieve Protocol for Execution) | Ballot | ted Draft | Production | ••000 | No | Free | N/A | | |
| Implementation Specification | IHE-CPRC (Clinical Research Process Content) | Balloted Draft | | Production | ••000 | No | Free | N/A | | |
| Limitations, Dependencies, | Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | | | | Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration: | | | | | |
| No comment. No comment. | | | | | | | | | | |

| _ | Interoperability Need: Submit adverse event report from an electronic health record to drug safety regulators | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability | | | | |
| | Implementation Specification | IHE-RFD (Retrieve Form for Data Capture) | Final | Production | •••• | No | Free | N/A | | | | |

| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
|----------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Implementation Specification | IHE-DSC (Drug Safety Content) | Balloted Draft | Pilot | •0000 | No | Free | N/A |
| Implementation Specification | IHE- CPRC (Clinical Research Process Content) | Balloted Draft | Production | ••000 | No | Free | N/A |
| Standard | CDISC Protocol Representation Model (PRM) | Final | Production | •0000 | No | Free | Yes |
| Limitations, Dependencies, a | nd Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable | Security Patterns i | for Consideration: | <u> </u> | | |
| COMMENT: The Colla and CDISC. | aborative supports using IHE-RFD, DSC, C | PRC, • No co | mment. | | | | |

| Interoperability Need: | Interoperability Need: Complete disease registry forms and submit to reporting authority (ACC) | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability | | | |
| Implementation Specification | IHE-RFD (Retrieve Form for Data Capture) | Final | Production | •••• | No | Free | N/A | | | |
| Standard | CDISC Clinical Data Acquisition Standards Harmonization (CDASH) | Final | Production | •••• | No | Free | N/A | | | |
| Implementation Specification | HL7 Clinical Document Architecture (CDA®), Release 2.0, Final Edition | Final | Production | •••• | No | Free | N/A | | | |
| Limitations, Dependencies, a | Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | | | for Consideration | | | | | | |
| • COMMENT: The Coll | aborative supports using IHE RFD; CDISC, | and • No co | mment. | | | | | | | |
| HL7 CDA. | | | | | | | | | | |

| Interoperability Need: | Registering a clinical trial | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|------|--------------|
| | | Standards Process | Implementation | Adoption | Federally | | Test Tool |
| Type | Standard/Implementation Specification | Maturity | Maturity | Level | Required | Cost | Availability |

| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
|----------|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Standard | CDISC Clinical Trial Registry (CTR-XML) | Balloted Draft | Pilot | •0000 | No | Free | N/A |
| Standard | CDISC Operational Data Model (ODM) | Final | Pilot | •••• | No | Free | N/A |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration: |
|---|---|
| No comment. | No comment. |

Data Provenance

| Interoperability Need: | Establishing the authenticity, reliabilit | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|----------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|
| Туре | Type Standard/Implementation Specification Matu | | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability |
| Implementation Specification | HL7 CDA® Release 2 Implementation Guide Data Provenance, Release 1 - US Realm | Balloted Draft | Pilot | •0000 | No | Free | No |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration: |
|---|---|
| • This implementation specification is focused on data provenance representation for CDA R2 implementations and the use of CDA templates. | No comment. |
| COMMENT: The Collaborative supports using HL7 CDA Release 2 | |
| Implementation Guide Data Provenance. | |

Projected Standards and Specifications for Services:

"Push" Exchange

| nteroperability Need: Push communication of vital signs from medical devices | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability | | | |
| Standard | ISO/IEEE 11073 Health informatics - Medical / health device communication standards | Final | Pilot | •0000 | No | \$ | No | | | |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration: |
|---|--|

| • | ISO/IEEE 11073 is a suite of standards for various medical devices. | • | No comment. |
|---|--|---|-------------|
| • | COMMENT: The Collaborative supports using ISO/IEEE Health Informatics – Medical/health device communication standards. | | |
| | informatics – Medical/Health device communication standards. | | |

Public Health Exchange

| Interoperability Need: (| Interoperability Need: Query/Response for Immunization Reporting and Exchange | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Туре | Standard/Implementation Specification | Standards Process Maturity | Implementation Maturity | Adoption Level | Federally Required | Cost | Test Tool Availability | | | | | |
| Implementation Specification | EHR-IIS Interoperability Enhancement Project Transport Layer Protocol Recommendation Formal Specification, Version 1.2 | Final | Production | •0000 | No | Free | No | | | | | |
| Implementation Specification | IIS Standard WSDL | Final | Production | •0000 | No | Free | No | | | | | |

| Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: | Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration: |
|---|---|
| COMMENT: The Collaborative supports using EHRS-IIS | No comment. |
| Interoperability Enhancement, Version 1.2 and IIS Standard | |
| WSDL. | |